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## Introduction

- We have see that the Solow model can match some stylized facts about economic growth through the production function.
- Nevertheless, the model lies in a strong assumption: an exogenous constant savings rate.
- We want to explicitly microfound the savings decision so it responds to changes in economic policy.
- Moreover, we want our model to be set in general equilibrium so prices respond to changes in the environment.
- In this lecture we will build these foundations so we can include them in our models in the future.


## What We Learn in This Chapter

- How to write a dynamic macro model.
- How to define a competitive equilibrium.
- How to solve for the equilibrium prices and allocations.
- What can we say about welfare (in the Pareto sense).
- How to include uncertainty in a dynamic model.


## References

- Dirk Krueger Ch. 2 and 6.
- PhD Macrobook Ch. 5, 6 and 7.
- Acemoglu Ch. 5.
- Ljungqvist and Sargent. Ch. 8.


## A (Macro)economic Model

Building a (Macro)economic Model

- Preferences: Utility function.
- Technology: Production function.
- Government: Policy instrument, objective function.
- Environment: Information, market structure, goods, population, etc.
- Endowments: Agents' endowments.
- Concept of equilibrium: How prices are defined, or alternatively, how interactions between the agents occur.

We can define the prices and allocations of the economy in with this information.

## Competitive Equilibrium

We will focus on a competitive equilibrium.

## Definition (Competitive Equilibrium)

A competitive equilibrium consists of allocations (a list/vector of quantities) and prices (list/vector of prices) such that:
(i) Given the prices, the allocations solve the agents' problem.
(ii) The allocations respect the economy's resource constraints (i.e., they are feasible allocations).

- A set of equations describing the actions of agents and the constraints of the economy in a way that prices describe an equilibrium (no excess demand or supply).
- The second condition implies that all markets are in equilibrium (i.e., market clearing).


## Solving the Model

## Step by step:

1. Describe the "environment".
2. Solve the individual problem of each agent.

- Write the maximization problem and the set of equations that determine the solution.
- Household consumption (as a function of income and price), $c=f(y, p)$; firm's demand for labor (as a function of wage), $n=h(w)$, etc.

3. Specify the equilibrium conditions (market clearing conditions).

- The aggregate demand for bananas must be equal to the aggregate supply of bananas, and the same for apples, etc.

4. Describe the competitive equilibrium.

- Write all endogenous objects (prices, allocations, etc.) and all equations (agents' first-order conditions, market clearing, etc.), and eventually government policies.
- System of $N$ equations and $N$ endogenous objects.


## Solving the Model

## Advantages of this approach

- Aggregate relationships respect individual constraints.
- Transparency: Clear map of what is preference/technology and what is the agents' endogenous decision.
- Agents' expectations are consistent with the model.
- Micro $\Rightarrow$ Macro.
- Policy changes impact the welfare of each individual agent.
- Testable implications about individual behavior.


## (Macro)economic Models

Macro models are...
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## Two-Agent Endowment Economy

- Environment: 2 consumers $(i=1,2)$ of a single good living infinitely many periods.
- Preferences:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(\left\{c_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} u\left(c_{t}^{i}\right) \quad i=1,2 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Endowments: Deterministic sequences $\left\{e^{i}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$, where:

$$
e_{t}^{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{e}, & \text { if } t \text { is even. }  \tag{2}\\
0, & \text { if } t \text { is odd. }
\end{array} \quad e_{t}^{2}= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } t \text { is even. } \\
\hat{e}, & \text { if } t \text { is odd }\end{cases}\right.
$$

and $\hat{e}>0$.

- "Technology": The endowment can be transformed into a final consumption good at no $\operatorname{cost}: c_{t}=\hat{e}$


## Digression: A Note on Infinite Horizon

In macroeconomics, it is common to solve dynamic problems of infinite sum. Intuition behind $T=\infty$ ?
(i) Altruism: We derive utility from the well-being of our descendants. An agent who lives for one period and discounts the utility of their children with $\beta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(c_{\tau}\right)=u\left(c_{\tau}\right)+\beta U\left(c_{\tau+1}\right)=\sum_{t=\tau}^{\infty} \beta^{t-\tau} u\left(c_{t}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Simplification: When $T$ is sufficiently high, the behavior of the model is similar to $T=\infty$. Models with $T=\infty$ are stationary and easier to work with.

## Digression: A Note on Infinite Horizon

- When dealing with an infinite horizon, we need to ensure that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(\left\{c_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} u\left(c_{t}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded.

- How to compare two consumption sequences $\left\{c_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ that yield infinite $U$ ?
- Depending on the problem, this imposes restrictions on parameters and functional forms.
- If $c_{t}=\bar{c}$ is constant, the condition for the series to converge is $\beta<1$.
- But if the sequence is of the form $\left\{c_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}=\left\{c_{0}(1+\gamma)^{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$, it will depend on $\gamma, \beta$, and $u($.$) .$


## Digression: Utility Function

- Assuming an exponential discount factor $\beta \in(0,1)$, the utility function in its general form is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{i}\left(c_{1}^{i}, c_{2}^{i}, \ldots, c_{T}^{i}\right) \equiv \sum_{t=0}^{T}\left(\beta^{i}\right)^{t} u^{i}\left(c_{t}^{i}\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ is the utility function defined over a consumption sequence $\left\{c_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{T}$.

- Exponential discounting implies that regardless of the period $t$, the discount between $t$ and $t+1$ is always the same.
- $T$ can be finite or infinite.
- The utility can be individual $i$-specific (but the problem becomes harder to solve).


## Digression: Utility Function

We assume that $u()$ :

- is a twice-differentiable function, strictly increasing $\left(u^{\prime}(c)>0\right)$, strictly concave ( $u^{\prime \prime}(c)<0$ ), does not change over time, and does not depend on the decisions of other individuals.
- is time-separable.
- defined over $c>0$.
- And that the marginal utility satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{c \rightarrow 0} u^{\prime}(c)=\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} u^{\prime}(c)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- This ensures that the agent's choice is always $c \in(0, \infty)$.
- More consumption is always better, but an additional unit of $c$ increases $\Rightarrow$ Marginal utility is decreasing.


## Usual Utility Functions

- Usual utility functions used in macro models:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
u(c)=\ln c & \text { Log } \\
u(c)=\frac{c^{1-\sigma}-1}{1-\sigma}, \quad \sigma>0 & \text { CRRA } \\
u(c)=\theta c, \quad \theta>0 & \text { Linear } \\
u(c)=c-\theta \frac{c^{2}}{2} \quad \theta>0 & \text { Quadratic } \\
u(c)=-\frac{\exp \{-\alpha c\}}{\alpha} \quad \alpha>0 & \text { CARA }
\end{array}
$$

- Note that $\ln c$ is a special case of CRRA when $\sigma=1$.


## Market Structure

- What is a decentralized equilibrium? Allocations supported by prices that clear all markets.
- Basically solving supply and demand in $N-1$ markets (by Walras' law, the $N$-th market will be in equilibrium).

Two ways to represent a competitive equilibrium in a dynamic economy

1. Arrow-Debreu: All exchanges occur in period 0 .
2. Sequential Markets: Markets open each period.

## Arrow-Debreu

## Arrow-Debreu Structure

- Agents "trade" in period 0 (or sign a contract with perfect commitment).
- In subsequent periods, they only deliver the quantities agreed upon in period 0 .
- The price of the final consumption good is $p_{t}$ in each $t$. We normalize $p_{0}=1$.
- Intuitively, a consumption good at $t$ is a different commodity at $t-1$ (thus has a different price).
- In complete markets, $T$ periods are equivalent to having $T$ different goods in a single period.
- The budget constraint for agent $i$ in period $0: \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_{t} c_{t}^{i} \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_{t} e_{t}^{i}$.


## Arrow-Debreu

Definition. A competitive Arrow-Debreu equilibrium is a sequence of allocations $\left\{c_{t}^{1}, c_{t}^{2}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ and prices $\left\{p_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ such that:

1. Given the price sequence $\left\{p_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$, for $i=1,2,\left\{c_{t}^{1}, c_{t}^{2}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ is the solution to the problem:

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \max _{\left\{c_{t}^{i} \geq 0\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} u\left(c_{t}^{i}\right) \\
\text { s.t. } \quad & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_{t} c_{t}^{i} \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_{t} e_{t}^{i} \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

2. The goods market is in equilibrium:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{t}^{1}+c_{t}^{2}=e_{t}^{1}+e_{t}^{2}=\hat{e} \quad \forall t \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have described the environment of the economy and the definition of competitive equilibrium; let's go to the optimization problem of agents $i=1,2$.

## Solving the Two-agents Problem

- Suppose $u(c)=\log (c) \beta \in(0,1)$. For an arbitrary agent $i=1,2$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \log \left(c_{t}^{i}\right)+\lambda_{i}\left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_{t} e_{t}^{i}-\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_{t} c_{t}^{i}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i}$ is the Lagrange multiplier for the budget constraint of agent $i$.

- The solution is interior: $c_{t}>0$ for every $t\left(\lim _{c \rightarrow 0} u^{\prime}(c)=\infty\right)$.
- The budget constraint holds with equality ( $u$ is strictly increasing).
- FOC: $\frac{\beta^{t}}{c_{t}^{i}}=\lambda_{i} p_{t}$ for $t=0,1, . ., \infty$.
- Solving for $\lambda_{i}$ in two arbitrary periods:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{c_{t}^{i}}=\frac{p_{t}}{p_{t+1}} \frac{\beta}{c_{t+1}^{i}} \quad \text { for all } t \text { and } i=1,2 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Solving the Two-agents Problem

- Okay, a system of infinite equations, now what? Note that: $c_{t}^{i}=c_{0}^{i} \frac{p_{0}}{p_{t}} \beta^{t}$.
- Substituting into the budget constraint and normalizing $p_{0}=1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_{t} e_{t}^{i}=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_{t} c_{t}^{i}=c_{0} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t}=\frac{c_{0}^{i}}{1-\beta} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

- This gives us the sequence of allocations as a function of prices.
- To complete the solution, we need to find the prices that support the equilibrium.


## Solving the Two-agents Problem

- Equilibrium in the goods market:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{t}^{1}+c_{t}^{2}=e_{t}^{1}+e_{t}^{2}=\hat{e} \quad \forall t \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Summing the FOCs of both agents:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{t+1}^{1}+c_{t+1}^{2}=\beta \frac{p_{t}}{p_{t+1}}\left(c_{t}^{1}+c_{t}^{2}\right) \quad \forall t \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

- This implies $\hat{e}=\beta \frac{p_{t}}{p_{t+1}} \hat{e} \Leftrightarrow \beta=\frac{p_{t+1}}{p_{t}}$. With the normalization $p_{0}=1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{t}=\beta^{t} \quad \forall t \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Meaning that $c_{t+1}^{i}=c_{t}^{i}=c_{0}^{i}$ for both $i$.


## Solving a Dynamic Problem

- We have the equilibrium solution, but we can go further and show the consumption sequence as a function of parameters.
- Agent 1 receives the endowment first, thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_{t} e_{t}^{1}=\hat{e} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{2 t}=\frac{\hat{e}}{1-\beta^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Similarly, we can show that for agent 2:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_{t} e_{t}^{2}=\frac{\hat{e} \beta}{1-\beta^{2}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Finally, the equilibrium allocations are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{t}^{1}=c^{1}=\frac{\hat{e}}{1+\beta}>\frac{\hat{e}}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{t}^{2}=c^{2}=\frac{\hat{e} \beta}{1+\beta}<\frac{\hat{e}}{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Agent 1 consumes more because she receives the endowment first.


## Sequential Market Structure

- Agents "trade" every period and can borrow or lend at a one-period interest rate $r_{t}$.
- Define $a_{t}$ as the agent's net position, i.e., savings from period $t-1$.
- The price of the final consumption good is $p_{t}$ in each $t$. We normalize $p_{t}=1$ in all periods.
- The budget constraint for agent $i$ in period $t$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{t}+a_{t+1} \leq a_{t}\left(1+r_{t}\right)+e_{t}^{i} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Alternatively, we can use the price of a one-period bond as $q_{t} \equiv 1 /\left(1+r_{t}\right)$.


## Sequential Markets

Definition. A Sequential Markets equilibrium is a sequence of allocations $\left\{c_{t}^{1}, c_{t}^{2}, a_{t+1}^{1}, a_{t+1}^{2}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ and prices $\left\{r_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ given that:

1. For $i=1,2$, given the sequence of interest rates $\left\{r_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty},\left\{c_{t}^{1}, c_{t}^{2}, a_{t+1}^{1}, a_{t+1}^{2}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ is the solution to the problem:

$$
\begin{array}{lc} 
& \max _{\left\{c_{t}^{i}>0, a_{t+1}^{i}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} u\left(c_{t}^{i}\right) \\
\text { s.t. } & c_{t}+a_{t+1} \leq a_{t}\left(1+r_{t}\right)+e_{t}^{i} \quad \forall t, a_{0}^{i}=0 \\
& \lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{T+1}}{\prod_{t=0}^{T}\left(1+r_{t}\right)} \geq 0 \quad \text { (No-Ponzi-game) } \tag{22}
\end{array}
$$

2. The goods and assets (bonds) markets are in equilibrium:

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{t}^{1}+c_{t}^{2}=e_{t}^{1}+e_{t}^{2}=\hat{e} \quad \forall t  \tag{23}\\
& a_{t+1}^{1}+a_{t+1}^{2}=0 \quad \forall t \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

## Sequential Markets

- Note that there is an additional equilibrium condition in the asset market, and there are infinite restrictions.
- If markets are complete and the no-Ponzi game restriction is satisfied, an Arrow-Debreu equilibrium always has an equivalent in Sequential Markets.
- See the theorem and proof in DK's notes.
- What does the no-Ponzi game restriction mean?
- To give intuition, let's solve the sequential problem in finite time and replace NPG with a restriction $a_{T+1} \geq 0$.


## Sequential Markets in Finite Horizon

Kuhn-Tucker for agent $i$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\sum_{t=0}^{T}\left[\beta^{t} u\left(c_{t}^{i}\right)+\lambda_{t}\left(e_{t}^{i}+a_{t}^{i}\left(1+r_{t}\right)-c_{t}^{i}-a_{t+1}^{i}\right)\right]+\mu_{T} a_{T+1}^{i} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Kuhn-Tucker conditions:
- $a_{T+1} \geq 0, \lambda_{t} \geq 0$, and $\mu_{t} \geq 0$.
- Complementary slackness: $a_{T+1} \mu_{T}=0$

First-order conditions...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{\prime}\left(c_{t}\right) \beta^{t}=\lambda_{t} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{t}=\left(1+r_{t+1}\right) \lambda_{t+1} \quad \text { for } \quad t=0, \ldots, T-1 \\
& u^{\prime}\left(c_{T}\right) \beta^{T}=\lambda_{T} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{T}=\mu_{T} \quad \text { for } \quad t=T
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sequential Markets in Finite Horizon

- Using the FOCs:

$$
u^{\prime}\left(c_{t}\right)=\left(1+r_{t+1}\right) \beta u^{\prime}\left(c_{t+1}\right) \quad t=0,1, \ldots, T-1
$$

- This is the Euler Equation $\Rightarrow$ the most important equation in modern macroeconomics.
- Describes the trade-off between consumption and savings for the household.
- In period $T$ :

$$
\beta^{T} u^{\prime}\left(c_{T}\right)=\lambda_{T}=\mu_{T}>0
$$

- Since $c_{T}>0$ and $u^{\prime}\left(c_{T}\right)>0$ implies $\mu_{T}>0$.
- Due to the complementary slackness in the KT conditions, $a_{T}=0!\Rightarrow$ the agent doesn't want to die with "money in the pocket".
- What would happen if we didn't have the restriction $a_{T} \geq 0$ ? What does this tell us about the No-Ponzi game?


## No-Ponzi Game

- No-Ponzi game condition: without it, the agent could always roll over the debt and achieve a higher consumption sequence.
- Substituting the budget constraints up to $T$ (assuming equality):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{c_{0}-e_{0}}{\left(1+r_{0}\right)}+\frac{a_{1}}{\left(1+r_{0}\right)}=a_{0} \\
& \frac{c_{1}-e_{1}}{\left(1+r_{1}\right)}+\frac{a_{2}}{\left(1+r_{1}\right)}=a_{1} \ldots \\
& \Rightarrow \sum_{t=0}^{T} \frac{c_{t}-e_{t}}{\Pi_{j=0}^{t}\left(1+r_{j}\right)}+\underbrace{\frac{a_{T+1}}{\prod_{t=0}^{T}\left(1+r_{t}\right)}}_{=0 \text { No-Ponzi-game }}=a_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Alternatively to this condition, we can impose a lower bound such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{t+1} \geq-\bar{A} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided this lower bound is high enough not to restrict the choice of $a_{t+1}$.

## Sequential Markets in Infinite Horizon

- In infinite time, we don't have the final condition. Using the Euler Equation (assuming $\log$ ):

$$
\frac{1}{c_{t}^{i}}=\left(1+r_{t+1}\right) \beta \frac{1}{c_{t+1}^{i}} \quad \forall t \text { and } i=1,2 .
$$

- Note that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1}^{i} & =\left(1+r_{1}\right) \beta c_{0}^{i} \quad \& \quad c_{2}^{i}=\left(1+r_{2}\right) \beta c_{1}^{i} \quad \rightarrow \quad c_{2}^{i}=\left(1+r_{2}\right)\left(1+r_{1}\right) \beta^{2} c_{0}^{i} \\
\Rightarrow \quad c_{t}^{i} & =c_{0}^{i} \beta^{t}\left[\Pi_{j=1}^{t}\left(1+r_{j}\right)\right] \\
c_{t}^{i} & =c_{0}^{i} \frac{\beta^{t}}{1+r_{0}}\left[\Pi_{j=0}^{t}\left(1+r_{j}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sequential Markets in Infinite Horizon

- Substituting $c_{t}^{i}=c_{0}^{i} \frac{\beta^{t}}{1+r_{0}}\left[\Pi_{j=0}^{t}\left(1+r_{j}\right)\right]$ into the intertemporal budget constraint:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{t}^{i}}{\Pi_{j=0}^{t}\left(1+r_{j}\right)}+\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{T+1}}{\Pi_{t=0}^{T}\left(1+r_{t}\right)}=a_{0}^{i}+\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{t}^{i}}{\Pi_{j=0}^{t}\left(1+r_{j}\right)} \\
& \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} c_{0}^{i} \frac{\beta^{t}}{\left(1+r_{0}\right)}=a_{0}^{i}+\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{t}}{\Pi_{j=0}^{t}\left(1+r_{j}\right)} \\
& \frac{c_{0}^{i}}{(1-\beta)}=a_{0}^{i}\left(1+r_{0}\right)+\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{t}}{\Pi_{j=1}^{t}\left(1+r_{j}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Assuming $a_{0}^{i}=0$ (could be positive or negative, wouldn't make a difference).
- Without NPG, the intertemporal budget constraint is not bound.


## Prices

- Summing the Euler equation of the two agents: $c_{t}^{1}+c_{t}^{2}=\left(1+r_{t+1}\right) \beta\left(c_{t+1}^{1}+c_{t+1}^{2}\right)$
- Using the goods market equilibrium equation: $c_{t}^{1}+c_{t}^{2}=e_{t}^{1}+e_{t}^{2}=\hat{e}$ for all $t$ :

$$
\hat{e}=\left(1+r_{t+1}\right) \beta \hat{e} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1+r_{t}=\frac{1}{\beta} \quad \forall t>0 .
$$

- Note that $1 /\left(1+r_{t+1}\right)=p_{t+1} / p_{t}$ from the Arrow-Debreu structure.
- Additionally, $c_{t}^{i}=c_{0}^{i} \quad \forall t$.


## Solution

- Finally, using $\beta=1 /\left(1+r_{t}\right)$ :

$$
\frac{c_{0}^{i}}{(1-\beta)}=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{t}}{\Pi_{j=1}^{t}\left(1+r_{j}\right)}=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} e_{t}^{i}
$$

substituting the endowment sequences $e_{t}^{i}$ of each agent $i$, we find the same allocations as the Arrow-Debreu market.

- Once we have the consumption of each agent in each period $c_{t}^{i}$, we can use the budget constraints and calculate their savings!
- Remember that the equilibrium in the asset market is: $a_{t}^{1}+a_{t}^{2}=0$ for all $t$.

The Social Planner and the Welfare Theorems

## The Social Planner

- In the previous section, we solved the model (i.e., the eq. allocations and prices) by finding the decentralized equilibrium:
- Decentralized equilibrium: Find the price vector that supports the optimal allocations and CLEARS ALL MARKETS.
- We can also solve for the optimal allocations by solving the social planner's problem.
- The "Benevolent" Social Planner's Problem:
- Maximize the utility of the HHs subject to the technological restrictions and resource constraints (NOT BUDGET CONSTRAINTS).
- Does NOT involve any prices.
- The solution(s) are the Pareto optimal allocations.


## Welfare and Equilibrium

- Okay, we've found the solution for the social planner. What now?
- Close relationship between solving the planner's problem and the decentralized competitive equilibrium.
- Under certain conditions, the two problems result in the same allocations $\Rightarrow$ Welfare Theorems.
- First Welfare Theorem: Competitive Equilibrium $\Rightarrow$ Pareto Optimal Allocations.
- Second Welfare Theorem: Pareto Optimal Allocations $\Rightarrow$ Competitive Equilibrium.
- In this case, we can also say that the economy is Pareto efficient.


## Pareto Optimality

- Suppose an arbitrary economy:

1. $N$ goods indexed by $j$;
2. $H$ families indexed by $h$ consuming $x_{j}^{h}$ with utility $U^{h}$ and endowments $e^{h}$;
3. $F$ firms indexed by $f$ producing $y_{j}^{f}$.

The firm's ownership fraction is given by $\theta_{h}^{f}$, where $\sum_{h}^{H} \theta_{h}^{f}=1$.

- Definition: An allocation $\left\{x_{j}^{h}, y_{j}^{f}\right\}_{f \in F, h \in H, j \in N}$ is "feasible"if for every $j \in N$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{h}^{H} x_{j}^{h} \leq \sum_{h}^{H} e_{j}^{h}+\sum_{f}^{F} y_{j}^{f} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Definition: An allocation $\left\{x_{j}^{h}, y_{j}^{f}\right\}_{f \in F, h \in H, j \in N}$ is Pareto optimal if:

1. it is "feasible";
2. there is no other "feasible"allocation $\left\{\hat{x}_{j}^{h}, \hat{y}^{f}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
U^{h}\left(\left\{\hat{x}_{j}^{h}\right\}_{j \in N}\right) \geq U^{h}\left(\left\{x_{j}^{h}\right\}_{j \in N}\right) & \text { for every } h \\
U^{h}\left(\left\{\hat{x}_{j}^{h}\right\}_{j \in N}\right)>U^{h}\left(\left\{x_{j}^{h}\right\}_{j \in N}\right) & \text { for at least one } h . \tag{29}
\end{array}
$$

## First Welfare Theorem

## Theorem (First Welfare Theorem)

Suppose that $\left\{x_{j}^{h}, y_{j}^{f}, p_{j}\right\}$ is a competitive equilibrium, and all $U^{h}$ are locally nonsatiated. Then $\left\{x_{j}^{h}, y_{j}^{f}\right\}$ is Pareto optimal.

- Proof: By contradiction. Suppose $\left\{x_{j}^{h}, y_{j}^{f}\right\}$ is not Pareto optimal (i.e., there exists another feasible allocation that gives more utility to at least one $h$ ) and use the definition of a competitive equilibrium.
- Note that we are assuming the existence of a competitive equilibrium (which may not exist depending on the form of $U^{h}$, and the sets of $x$ and $y$ ).
- Pareto optimality says nothing about equity (an individual consuming everything is efficient).
- When does the First Welfare Theorem not apply?
- Externalities; Incomplete Markets; Imperfect Competition; Asymmetric Information; Distortionary Taxation;


## Second Welfare Theorem

## Theorem (Second Welfare Theorem)

Consider the Pareto optimal allocation $\left\{x_{j}^{h}, y_{j}^{f}\right\}$. Given certain conditions (convex production and consumption set, utility is concave, continuous, and locally nonsatiated), there exists a competitive equilibrium with prices $\left\{p_{j}\right\}$ and endowments $\left\{e^{h}, \theta_{h}^{f}\right\}$ that supports the allocation $\left\{x_{j}^{h}, y_{j}^{f}\right\}$.

- Proof: The proof is more complicated as it implicitly involves demonstrating the existence of a competitive equilibrium. Basically, it involves showing the existence of prices (on a hyperplane) that support the allocations.
- Intuitively, the Second Welfare Theorem tells us that an allocation is part of a competitive equilibrium.
- Given an appropriate redistribution of initial endowments, we can pick the Pareto optimal allocation that is a competitive equilibrium.


## Social Planner

- The Welfare Theorems say that we can go from a Pareto optimal allocation to a decentralized equilibrium and vice versa.
- Under certain conditions, it is sufficient to compute the Pareto optimal allocations by solving the problem of the Social Planner (which is generally simpler).
- Negishi's Method: Selects the appropriate weight according to the initial endowments of each family to find the allocations of the competitive equilibrium!


## Planner's Problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{\left\{c_{t}^{1}, c_{t}^{2}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t}\left[\alpha u\left(c_{t}^{1}\right)+(1-\alpha) u\left(c_{t}^{2}\right)\right] \\
\text { s.t. } & c_{t}^{1}+c_{t}^{2}=e_{t}^{1}+e_{t}^{2}=\hat{e}_{t} \quad \text { for all } t \\
& c_{t}^{i} \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } t \text { and for all } i
\end{aligned}
$$

- The $\alpha \in[0,1]$ defines the relative Pareto weights (e.g., if $\alpha=0.5$ the social planner gives equal weight to the agents).
- The set of Pareto efficient consumption is a function of $\alpha: c_{t}^{i}(\alpha)$.
- There is a $\alpha$ where $c_{t}^{i}(\alpha)$ coincides with the decentralized equilibrium (Negishi's method).
- Exercise: Solve the two-agent problem using Negishi's method.


## Equilibrium in the Two-agent Problem

## Summary:

- The decentralized equilibrium: sequential markets structure vs Arrow-Debreu $\Rightarrow$ "Market" equilibrium.
- If markets are complete, the solutions are identical.
- The benevolent planner's problem: Gives the pareto optimal allocations.
- If the welfare theorems are satisfied, the two solutions are identical, and the equilibrium is optimal.


## Uncertainty in General Equilibrium

## Notation

- An event in period $t: s_{t} \in S$. $S$ is the set of all possible events, which we assume is finite and equal for all $t$.
- An event history is a vector represented by: $s^{t}=\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{t}\right)$.
- Formally $s^{t} \in S^{t}$, where $S^{t}=S \times S \times S \ldots \times S$.
- The probability of observing a particular history of events is given by: $\pi\left(s^{t}\right)$.
- The conditional probability of observing $s^{t}$ after the realization of $s^{\tau}: \pi\left(s^{t} \mid s^{\tau}\right)$.
- In some places, you may also find the representation of a sub-history of $s^{t}$ as: $s_{\rightarrow t-1}^{t}$.


## Notation

- The goods in the economy, instead of being "just" indexed by $t$, also have to be indexed by the history of events $s^{t}: c_{t}\left(s^{t}\right)$.
- An agent chooses a consumption sequence dependent on the history of events: $\left\{c_{t}\left(s^{t}\right)\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$.
- Agents maximize the expected utility:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(\left\{c_{t}\left(s^{t}\right)\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \sum_{s^{t} \in S^{t}} \pi\left(s^{t}\right) u\left(c_{t}\left(s^{t}\right)\right)=\mathbb{E}_{0}\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} u\left(c_{t}\right)\right] . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Example: Two-agent endowment economy.
- Agents $i=\{1,2\}$ receive an endowment $e_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)$ depending on the history $s^{t}$.


## Market Structure: Arrow-Debreu

- Trades occur in period 0 before any uncertainty is realized.
- In period 0, agents trade consumption claims in all periods and possible realizations of $s^{t}$.
- Define the price of a unit of a consumption claim at $t$ and $s^{t}: p_{t}\left(s^{t}\right)$.
- The budget constraint of an agent $i$ in period 0 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^{t} \in S^{t}} p_{t}\left(s^{t}\right) c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right) \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^{t} \in S^{t}} p_{t}\left(s^{t}\right) e_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Market clearing has to be sustained at all dates and possible history of events!

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{t}^{1}\left(s^{t}\right)+c_{t}^{2}\left(s^{t}\right)=e_{t}^{1}\left(s^{t}\right)+e_{t}^{2}\left(s^{t}\right) \quad \forall t \text { and } s^{t} \in S^{t} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Market Structure: Arrow-Debreu

Definition. An Arrow-Debreu competitive equilibrium is a sequence of allocations $\left\{c_{t}^{1}\left(s^{t}\right), c_{t}^{2}\left(s^{t}\right)\right\}_{t=0, s^{t} \in S^{t}}^{\infty}$ and prices $\left\{p_{t}\left(s^{t}\right)\right\}_{t=0, s^{t} \in S^{t}}^{\infty}$ such that:

1. Given the sequence of prices $\left\{p_{t}\left(s^{t}\right)\right\}_{t=0, s^{t} \in S^{t}}^{\infty}$, for $i=1,2,\left\{c_{t}^{1}\left(s^{t}\right), c_{t}^{2}\left(s^{t}\right\}_{t=0, s^{t} \in S^{t}}^{\infty}\right.$ is the solution of the problem:

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \left\{c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right) \geq 0\right\}_{t=0, s^{t} \in S^{t}}^{\infty} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^{t} \in S^{t}}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \pi\left(s^{t}\right) u\left(c_{t}\left(s^{t}\right)\right) \\
s . t . & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^{t} \in S^{t}}^{\infty} p_{t}\left(s^{t}\right) c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right) \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^{t} \in S^{t}}^{\infty} p_{t}\left(s^{t}\right) e_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right) \tag{34}
\end{array}
$$

2. The goods market is in equilibrium (feasibility):

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{t}^{1}\left(s^{t}\right)+c_{t}^{2}\left(s^{t}\right)=e_{t}^{1}\left(s^{t}\right)+e_{t}^{2}\left(s^{t}\right) \quad \forall t \text { and } s^{t} \in S^{t} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Market Structure: Arrow-Debreu

- Solution for an arbitrary agent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^{t} \in S^{t}} \beta^{t} \pi\left(s^{t}\right) u\left(c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)\right)+\lambda^{i}\left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^{t} \in S^{t}} p_{t}\left(s^{t}\right)\left[e_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)-c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)\right]\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

- And the FOCs...

$$
\beta^{t} \pi\left(s^{t}\right) u^{\prime}\left(c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)\right)=\lambda^{i} p_{t}\left(s^{t}\right) \quad \forall t, s^{t}, i
$$

- Note that by substituting with $\lambda$, the agent equalizes the marginal utility across different states of nature.

$$
\beta^{t} \frac{\pi\left(s^{t}\right)}{\pi\left(s_{0}\right)} \frac{u^{\prime}\left(c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)\right)}{u^{\prime}\left(c_{0}^{i}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)}=\frac{p_{t}\left(s^{t}\right)}{p_{0}\left(s_{0}\right)} \quad \forall t, s^{t}, i
$$

## Market Structure: Arrow-Debreu

- The ratio of marginal utility between agents is constant across all $t$ and $s^{t}$ :

$$
\frac{u^{\prime}\left(c_{t}^{2}\left(s^{t}\right)\right)}{u^{\prime}\left(c_{t}^{1}\left(s^{t}\right)\right)}=\frac{u^{\prime}\left(c_{0}^{2}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)}{u^{\prime}\left(c_{0}^{1}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)} \quad \forall t, s^{t}
$$

- Example with $u$ CRRA:

$$
\left(\frac{c_{t}^{2}\left(s^{t}\right)}{c_{t}^{1}\left(s^{t}\right)}\right)^{-\sigma}=\left(\frac{c_{0}^{2}\left(s_{0}\right)}{c_{0}^{1}\left(s_{0}\right)}\right)^{-\sigma} \quad \forall t, s^{t}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ The consumption ratio between two agents is constant across all $t$ and $s^{t}$.

- Given the resource constraint: $c_{t}^{1}\left(s^{t}\right)+c_{t}^{2}\left(s^{t}\right)=e_{t}^{1}\left(s^{t}\right)+e_{t}^{2}\left(s^{t}\right)=e_{t}\left(s^{t}\right)$ : an agent consumes a constant fraction $\theta^{i}$ of the aggregate endowment $e_{t}\left(s^{t}\right)$.


## Market Structure: Arrow-Debreu

- There is perfect risk sharing between agents! Consumption fluctuations are given by fluctuations in aggregate income, not individual income.
- The competitive allocation does not depend on the history of events $s^{t}$ or the distribution of realized endowments (trades are negotiated in period 0).
- Note that we need to assume perfect information and that contracts are enforceable (full enforcement).


## Market Structure: Arrow-Debreu

- Solving for prices, we use optimality + resource constraint:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{t}\left(s^{t}\right) & =\beta^{t} \frac{\pi\left(s^{t}\right)}{\pi\left(s_{0}\right)}\left(\frac{c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)}{c_{0}^{i}\left(s_{0}\right)}\right)^{-\sigma} \\
& =\beta^{t} \frac{\pi\left(s^{t}\right)}{\pi\left(s_{0}\right)}\left(\frac{e_{t}\left(s^{t}\right)}{e_{0}\left(s_{0}\right)}\right)^{-\sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

- That is, the "price" of consumption in a state of nature $s^{t}$ depends on the probability that this state is realized and the amount of aggregate wealth $\left(e_{t}\left(s^{t}\right)\right)$.
- The insurance price in a period of "lean times" is high since no agent wants to distribute their endowments.


## Market Structure: Sequential Markets

- Let's define a sequential market structure. In every period, markets open, and trades take place.
- For the equivalence between Arrow-Debreu and sequential markets with uncertainty, we need to deliver one unit of consumption in all states of nature.
- The agent can buy a contract at the price of $q_{t}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)$ in period $t$ and history $s^{t}$, which delivers one unit of consumption in the next period and state $s_{t+1}$, for each event $s_{t+1}$.
- The agent can, in period $t$, fully protect against any event that will occur in $t+1$ by buying a contract for each $s_{t+1}$.
- These financial instruments are known as: Arrow securities.
- In the case where it is possible to trade Arrow securities in all periods and states of nature, Arrow (1964) shows that we can trade goods between different $t$ and $s^{t}$, that is, we have complete markets.


## Market Structure: Sequential Markets

- Define $a_{t+1}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)$ as the quantity of Arrow securities bought by agents in period $t$.
- The budget constraint of an arbitrary agent $i$ in $t$ and $s^{t}$ :

$$
c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)+\sum_{s_{t+1}} a_{t+1}^{i}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right) q\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right) \leq e_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)+a_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)
$$

- Note that agents buy Arrow securities in $t$ for all contingencies $s_{t+1} \in S$, but once $s_{t+1}$ is realized, the position of $t+1$ is only $a_{t+1}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)$ corresponding to the realized state.
- The Arrow securities market needs to clear at zero for all periods and events.


## Market Structure: Sequential Markets

Definition. A competitive equilibrium with Sequential Markets is a sequence of allocations $\left\{c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right), a_{t+1}^{i}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right),\right\}_{t=0, i=1,2, s^{t} \in S^{t}}^{\infty}$ and prices $\left\{q\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)\right\}_{t=0, s^{t} \in S^{t}}^{\infty}$ such that:

1. Given the sequence of prices $\left\{q\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)\right\}_{t=0, s^{t} \in S^{t}}^{\infty}$, for $i=1,2$,
$\left\{c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right), a_{t+1}^{i}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right),\right\}_{t=0, i=1,2, s^{t} \in S^{t}}^{\infty}$ is the solution of the problem:

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \left.\max \sum_{t}^{i}>0, a_{t+1}^{i}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty} \\
\text { s.t. } & c_{t=0}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)+\sum_{s^{t} \in S^{t}} \beta^{t} \pi\left(s^{t}\right) u\left(c_{t}\left(s^{t}\right)\right) \\
& a_{t+1}^{i}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right) q_{t}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right) \leq e_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)+a_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right) \quad \forall t, s^{t} \\
& \left.s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right) \geq-\bar{A}^{i} \quad \forall t, s^{t} ; \quad a_{0}^{i} \text { dado. }
\end{array}
$$

2. The goods and asset markets are in equilibrium:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{t}^{1}\left(s^{t}\right)+c_{t}^{2}\left(s^{t}\right)=e_{t}^{1}\left(s^{t}\right)+e_{t}^{2}\left(s^{t}\right) \quad \forall t \text { and } s^{t} \in S^{t} \\
& a_{t+1}^{1}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)+a_{t+1}^{2}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)=0 \quad \forall t s^{t} \in S^{t} \text { and } s_{t+1} \in S
\end{aligned}
$$

## Market Structure: Sequential Markets

- Solution for an arbitrary agent:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} & =\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{s^{t} \in S^{t}} \beta^{t} \pi\left(s^{t}\right) u\left(c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)\right)+\ldots\right. \\
& \left.\ldots \sum_{s^{t} \in S^{t}} \lambda_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)\left[e_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)+a_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)-c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)-\sum_{s_{t+1}} a_{t+1}^{i}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right) q_{t}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- The optimality conditions, where $\lambda_{t+1}^{i}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)$ is the multiplier for $s_{t+1}$ given a history $s^{t}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta^{t} \pi\left(s^{t}\right) u^{\prime}\left(c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)\right)=\lambda_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right) \quad \forall t, s^{t}, i \\
& \lambda_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right) q_{t}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)=\lambda_{t+1}^{i}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Note the equivalence between Arrow-Debreu and sequential when:

$$
q_{t}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)=\frac{p_{t+1}\left(s^{t+1}\right)}{p_{t}\left(s^{t}\right)}
$$

## Market Structure: Sequential Markets

- In other words, the pricing kernel is:

$$
q_{t}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)=\beta \frac{u^{\prime}\left(c_{t+1}^{i}\left(s^{t+1}\right)\right)}{u^{\prime}\left(c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)\right)} \pi\left(s^{t+1} \mid s^{t}\right)
$$

where $\pi\left(s^{t+1} \mid s^{t}\right)=\pi\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right) / \pi\left(s^{t}\right)$.

- The price of one Arrow security associated with the state $s_{t+1}$. Remember that Arrow securities only pay off in one state of nature (in the others, they pay 0 ).
- The pricing kernel is widely used in macro-finance, and from it, we can price various assets.


## Market Structure: Sequential Markets

price of the security that pays in state $s_{t+1}$
$=\underbrace{\beta \frac{u^{\prime}\left(c_{t+1}^{i}\left(s^{t+1}\right)\right)}{u^{\prime}\left(c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)\right)}}$ ratio of mg . util. received in state $s_{t+1}$

- Higher probability increases the price of the security.
- Higher mg . utility in $s_{t+1}$ increases the price of the security.


## Pricing an Asset

- It is useful to price assets in terms of real returns. Define the one-period realized real return of an asset $j$ between $s^{t}$ and $s^{t+1}$ :

$$
R_{t+1}^{j}\left(s^{t+1}\right)=\frac{P_{t+1}^{j}\left(s^{t+1}\right)+d_{t+1}^{j}\left(s^{t+1}\right)}{P_{t}^{j}\left(s^{t}\right)}
$$

where $P_{t}^{j}\left(s^{t}\right)$ and $d_{t}^{j}\left(s^{t}\right)$ is the price of the asset $j$, in time $t$ and state $s^{t}$.

- An arrow security (pays dividend $=1$ in state $s^{t+1}$ and nothing else in other states nor the future), has gross returns of:

$$
R_{t+1}^{A}\left(s^{t+1}\right)=\frac{0+1}{q\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)}=\frac{1}{q\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)}
$$

## Example: Price of Risk-free Bond

- What is the price of a risk-free bond that always pay 1 in the next period (and nothing afterwise)?

$$
R_{t+1}=\frac{0+1}{P_{t}^{\text {risk free }}\left(s^{t}\right)}
$$

- The price of the risk free is equivalent of equivalent of having all the possibilities arrow securities:

$$
P_{t}^{\text {risk free } \left.\left(s^{t}\right)=\sum_{s_{t+1} \mid s^{t}} q_{t}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right), ~()^{t}\right)}
$$

## Example: Price of Risk-free Bond

- Thus, the return of a risk-free bond (non-contingent on the state):

$$
\sum_{s_{t+1} \mid s^{t}} q_{t}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)=R_{t+1}^{-1} .
$$

- In other words, the price of full consumption insurance in $t$ is the sum of the prices of Arrow securities associated with all events $s_{t+1}$ :

$$
\sum_{s_{t+1} \mid s^{t}} q_{t}\left(s_{t+1}, s^{t}\right)=\beta \sum_{s_{t+1} \mid s^{t}} \frac{u^{\prime}\left(c_{t+1}^{i}\left(s^{t+1}\right)\right)}{u^{\prime}\left(c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)\right)} \pi\left(s^{t+1} \mid s^{t}\right)
$$

## Example: Price of Risk-free Bond

- Note that $\sum_{s_{t+1}} \pi\left(s^{t+1} \mid s^{t}\right) u^{\prime}\left(c_{t+1}^{i}\left(s^{t+1}\right)\right)=\mathbb{E}_{t}\left[u^{\prime}\left(c_{t+1}^{i}\right)\right]$ is the conditional expected marginal utility of consumption given information in $t$.
- Substituting $R_{t+1}^{-1}$ and the conditional expectation, we can rewrite the Euler Equation:

$$
u^{\prime}\left(c_{t}^{i}\left(s^{t}\right)\right)=\beta R_{t+1} \mathbb{E}_{t}\left[u^{\prime}\left(c_{t+1}^{i}\left(s^{t+1}\right)\right] \quad \forall t, s^{t}\right.
$$

- This is the Euler equation you will encounter most of the time.


## Taking Stock

- How to solve a Dynamic General Equilibrium model?

1. Describe the economy's environment;
2. Solve the agents' problem;
3. Specify the equilibrium conditions;
4. Describe the competitive equilibrium.

- How to use the Welfare Theorems to solve the model?
- Given certain conditions, the solution of the Central Planner is equivalent to the decentralized equilibrium.
- In this case, we also know that the equilibrium is Pareto efficient.
- We also have seen that if we have Arrow securities available in all periods and state of nature, markets are complete and the solution of an Arrow-Debreu structure is equivalent to the sequentials market.

